

Fulfill CME requirements on pain management, addiction, and more. [EARN CREDITS TODAY >>](#)

Welcome Guest | [Renew, 5](#)

SUBSCRIBE O
Includes NEJM iPad
Online CME Exams :

HOME

ARTICLES & MULTIMEDIA

ISSUES

SPECIALTIES & TOPICS

FOR AUTHORS

CME

Keyword, Title, Author, or Cit



Perspective

Accountable Health Communities — Addressing Social Needs through Medicare and Medicaid

Dawn E. Alley, Ph.D., Chisara N. Asomugha, M.D., Patrick H. Conway, M.D., and Darshak M. Sanghavi, M.D.
January 5, 2016 | DOI: 10.1056/NEJMp1512532

Share:

Article

References

For decades, experts have described a profound imbalance between public funding of acute medical care and investments in upstream social and environmental determinants of health.¹ By some estimates, more than 95% of the trillion dollars spent on health care in the United States each year funds direct medical services, even though 60% of preventable deaths are rooted in modifiable behaviors and exposures that occur in the community.¹

Most clinicians are familiar with the stories behind these statistics: the child with asthma whose substandard housing triggers repeated emergency department visits; the patient with repeated visits for severe abdominal pain caused by her violent home life; the older adult with diabetes forced to choose between paying for heat and buying groceries. But in our current system, patients' health-related social needs frequently remain undetected and unaddressed. Despite calls for obtaining an expanded social history at the point of care,² most health care systems lack the infrastructure and incentives to develop comprehensive, systematic screening-and-referral protocols and relationships with the array of community service providers that would be required to address their patients' health-related social needs.

If the rate of preventable hospitalizations among residents of low-income neighborhoods could be reduced to the level among residents of high-income neighborhoods, there would be 500,000 fewer hospitalizations per year.³ As health systems are increasingly being held accountable for health outcomes and reducing the cost of care, they need tools and interventions that address patient and community factors contributing to excess utilization. Effective partnerships among medical care, social services, public health, and community-based organizations could improve population health outcomes, but developing sustainable payment models to support such partnerships has proved challenging.⁴

Some encouraging innovations have emerged. Catalyzed in part by statewide all-payer delivery-system reform and the growth of value-based or shared-risk payment models, some purchasers and providers of medical care have found innovative ways to support high-value community-focused interventions. For example, Hennepin Health, a county-based Medicaid managed-care organization in Minnesota, has reduced emergency department visits by 9% by using housing and community service specialists who are part of a tightly integrated medical and social service system. Tracking

TOOLS

[PDF](#)

[Print](#)

[Download Citation](#)

[Supplementary Material](#)

TOPICS

[Accountable Care Organizations](#)
[Medicare and Medicaid](#)

TRENDS

Most Viewed (Last V

IMAGES IN CLINICAL MEDICINE
Air Bronchogram [24,5
December 31, 2015 | B. N

CLINICAL DECISIONS
Is Home Birth Safe? [2
December 31, 2015 | M.B.

REVIEW ARTICLE
Comfort Care for Patient
Hospital [21,439 views]

patients' service utilization across clinical and human-service systems allows Hennepin to target upstream interventions so that its patient care can be more effective (as documented in composite quality metrics of asthma, diabetes, and vascular care); the organization has improved patients' access to social services and reinvested savings in a broad range of programs.⁵

Other examples come from the Health Care Innovation Awards granted by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS); these awards have supported organizations such as Chicago-based CommunityRx, which generates prescriptions for community services through an interface linking the patient's electronic health record with a community-resource database, and the Michigan Public Health Institute Pathways to Better Health Community Hub model, in which community health workers perform a structured assessment of clients' health and social service needs and use standardized "pathways" to link beneficiaries to community resources and track outcomes.

These projects have generated valuable insights regarding addressing the social determinants of health, including the importance of establishing cross-sector partnerships, building data systems that bridge health and community services, and developing a workforce to deliver interventions to vulnerable populations. We still lack expertise, however, in the best ways of scaling these approaches across myriad settings; we remain unsure whether broad-based investments improve health care utilization and costs; and we need to develop and test a template that allows a wide variety of communities to undertake transformation efforts.

To accelerate the development of a scalable delivery model for addressing upstream determinants of health for Medicare and Medicaid beneficiaries, CMS recently announced a 5-year, \$157 million program to test a model called Accountable Health Communities (AHC). Funded under the auspices of the CMS Innovation Center, the test will assess whether systematically identifying and addressing health-related social needs can reduce health care costs and utilization among community-dwelling Medicare and Medicaid beneficiaries.

The foundation of the AHC model is universal, comprehensive screening for health-related social needs — including but not limited to housing needs (e.g., homelessness, poor housing quality, inability to pay mortgage or rent), food insecurity, utility needs (e.g., difficulty paying utility bills), interpersonal safety (e.g., problems of intimate-partner violence, elder abuse, child maltreatment), and transportation difficulties — in all Medicare and Medicaid beneficiaries who obtain health care at participating clinical sites. Using the data gathered through this systematic screening — which form a social-needs diagnostic snapshot of patients and their community — the AHC model aims to address these underlying health-related social needs through three tiers of approaches, with each tier linked to a payment method (see [table](#)).

The model's design recognizes that communities' needs and capacity vary widely, necessitating a flexible, tracked approach keyed to various levels of readiness for change. The tracks — which we have named "Awareness," "Assistance," and "Alignment" — were developed on the basis of growing evidence that linking high-cost beneficiaries to social services can improve health outcomes and reduce costs. Prospective participants in the test, termed "bridge organizations," can be community organizations, local health departments, managed-care organizations, clinical networks, or other organizations that can demonstrate that they have strong relationships with clinical and community partners.

The AHC model is the first Innovation Center model designed specifically to test building community capacity to address the needs of a geographically defined population of beneficiaries. Our test will involve robust evaluation methods (including randomization at the beneficiary level and matched comparisons at the community level, which are exempt from human-subjects review under the Common Rule), is powered to detect cost savings associated with each track, and will have a qualitative-evaluation component.

To be successful, the AHC model will have to overcome several challenges that have plagued earlier efforts to build and evaluate clinical–community collaborations. First, major gaps exist in the evidence base needed to inform the selection of screening items and the collection of data for detecting health-related social needs, as highlighted by a recent report from the Institute of Medicine.² AHC sites will be required to select items from a question bank prepared by CMS in consultation with experts after extensive review of existing screening items; however, ensuring that

December 24, 2015 | C.D. Billings



PHYSICIAN JOBS

January 5, 2016

Gastroenterology
Gastroenterology Physician
FLORIDA

Hospitalist
Hospitalist Physician – NYC
Hospital)
NEW YORK

Surgery, Orthopedic
Oklahoma General Orthopedic
OKLAHOMA


Ambulatory Medicine
Emergency Medicine Physician
OREGON

Hospitalist
Hospitalist
TEXAS

Ambulatory Medicine
Telehealth Medical Director
WASHINGTON



Key Features of the Accountable Health Community 5-Year Payment-Model Test.



these questions are reliable for various delivery modes and patient populations will be a key challenge. Maintaining a motivated and skilled stable of community navigators will also be challenging.

Second, community needs and the quality of resources vary significantly, resulting in a challenging degree of complexity for a national test. That's why the AHC project includes three incremental levels of integration of care delivery, which can be deployed in multiple settings. The model permits flexibility in each track and incorporates extensive local support and technical assistance to help sites integrate interventions into their workflows. For example, in addition to the standard list of social needs that all participants will try to address, bridge organizations can, on the basis of community needs assessment, select additional needs to target. Sites can also choose how an intervention is delivered — by mobile device, in person at clinical sites, or through home visits — depending on the setting (for instance, whether it is rural or urban). In the advanced track (“Alignment”), CMS will fund a “backbone” organization that will facilitate data collection and sharing among all partners and try to enhance service capacity, testing the scalability and effectiveness of this backbone structure.

The AHC model reflects a growing emphasis on population health in CMS payment policy, which aims to support a transition from a health care delivery system to a true health system. The AHC test will improve our understanding of whether savings can materialize when upstream factors are addressed through collaboration among stakeholders who are accountable for the health and health care of their community.

[Disclosure forms](#) provided by the authors are available with the full text of this article at NEJM.org.

This article was published on January 5, 2016, at NEJM.org.

SOURCE INFORMATION

From the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, Baltimore.

CONTENT: [Home](#) | [Current Issue](#) | [Articles](#) | [Issue Index](#) | [Specialties & Topics](#) | [Multimedia & Images](#) | [Archive 1812-1989](#)

INFORMATION FOR: [Authors](#) | [Reviewers](#) | [Subscribers](#) | [Institutions](#) | [Media](#) | [Advertisers](#)

SERVICES: [Subscribe](#) | [Renew](#) | [Pay Bill](#) | [Activate Subscription](#) | [Create or Manage Account](#) | [Alerts](#) | [RSS & Podcasts](#) | [Submit a Manuscript](#) | [Mobile](#)

RESOURCES: [Physician Jobs](#) | [Reprints](#) | [Permissions](#) | [Medical Meetings](#) | [Conventions](#) | [FAQs](#) | [NEJM Knowledge+](#) | [NEJM Journal Watch](#) | [Help](#) | [Contact U](#)

NEJM: [About](#) | [Product Information](#) | [Editors & Publishers](#) | [200th Anniversary](#) | [Terms of Use](#) | [Privacy Policy](#) | [Copyright](#) | [Advertising Policies](#) | [NEJM Group](#)

CME: [Weekly CME Program](#) | [Browse Weekly Exams](#) | [Your CME Activity](#) | [Purchase Exams](#) | [Review CME Program](#)

Follow us    

Copyright © 2016 [Massachusetts Medical Society](#). All rights reserved.